Previous references.

Ex. 712-01.

29 P (1903-04 Sep Rev)

Later references.

File No. 112—03

Serial Nos. 1—8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—8

Part B. for November 12

SUBJECT.

Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of the Dinka village.

1. Petition from A. Narasimhaiengar, Munsiff, Chickballapur No 183 dy 12-2-04.

States that in para 1 of G.O. no 123-4 Ex. 712-01 dy 17-8-03 it is stated that Dinka, Inam village in Krishnarajpete Taluk has no toddy ~~sh~~ shop while the existence of the same is clearly established by the Govt records and prays that the first para of the proceedings be amended and that the petitioner's right to the toddy from a toddy shop be recognised.

2. To Mr. A. Narasimiengar. No 583 Ex dy 27-2-04.

G. R. S. 58

Informs him that his agent Venkappa deposed on oath on 6-1-02 before the Inspecting officer Mr P. Srinivasa Rao that there was no Dukan in the village

3. From Mr A. Narasimhaiyar, Munsiff of Chickballapur and Inamdar of Dinka village —
petition No 2015 dy 1-3-04.
petitioner Affirms the existence of a toddy shop in the Dinka village and prays that its existence may be recognised by the Govt and Govt. prop. No 123-4/ Ex 7 12-01 dy 803 amended accordingly.

4. To the Excise Commr. No 642/Ex dy 30-3-04.
Forwards the petitions (Sl 1 & 2) & requests the Subdivisional of a report as to whether a toddy shop actually exists in the village in the Question (Dinka) and if so how long it has been in existence

5 From the Excise Commr No 44441 dy 7-11-08
Forwards copy of the Mysore Dy. Commr's docket no 322 dy 3-11-08 & the records received therewith & states that there is a toddy shop in the village existing for a long time and that the first report that there was no shop in the village is a mistake.

6. To the Excise Commr. No FL4107 dy 13-3-09.
Calls for further information as to whether the usufruct the grove of the village in Question were sold together or separately.

7. From the Excise Commr No 1580 dy 13-8-09
Submits copy of Mysore Dy. Commr's docket no 90 dy 2-8-09 furnishing the information.

8. To the Excise Commr, Comptroller —
No FL 3185-6 dy 29-11-12 —
Directs that the Case be brought under rules 2, 3, 5, 6, & 9 of the Rules of 2-8-01.

S&R 1/12 - 1903-04

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(2)

(Full Office Note.)

‡ Subject. Petition No 2015 & 3rd Nov 1904, from Mr A. Narasimmiengar, Amildar of Chikkaballapur, & Inamdar of Dinka village, affirming the existence of a toddy shop in the Dinka village and praying that its existence may be recognized by the Govt and Govt: Proceedings No 123-4 Ex. 7. 12-01 & 7/8/03 amended accordingly.

(83)

* Note. During the enquiry held by the Abkari Claim Investigating Officer Mr C. Srinivasa Row, in regard to the Petitioner's claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy, the Petitioner's agent one Venkatappa deposed on oath before that Officer on 10-1-02 that there was no Dukan in the village but only a vanam was in existence. In consequence of this Govt, in their Proceedings No 123-4 Ex. 7. 12-01 & 7-8-03, recognized the existence of the grove but not of the Shop. Upon seeing this, the petitioner applied to Government on 12-2-04 (vide Petn No 1839 & 15-2-04) for praying for the recognition of the existence of the Dukan and the Amendment of their proceedings above mentioned

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

mentioned on the ground that there had been and was in existence a Shop in Dunka and the same fact was clearly established by Govt. records (notification No 6981-E.346, dt 14854-E.7-'01 in the Govt: Gazette. dt. 7/3/01, 7/3/02, and No 429-E.7.6-02 18/3/03).

In reply to this an Endorsement-No 1859/244 dt 12.2.'04 was granted to the Petitioner informing him that his agent had deposed before the investigating officer that there was no Durbhan in the village.

On the receipt of this Endorsement, the petitioner once again made an application to Govt: (the Petition under reference) stating that it was only after the receipt of the Endorsement that he came to know that the existence of the Durbhan had been denied by his agent before the Investigating Officer, that the agent's statement was a mistake of fact and that he relies not on any oral Evidence for the proof of the existence of the Durbhan, but on unimpeachable Govt. Records, Gazette notifications as mentioned in his previous petition. He prays that on these grounds, the existence of the Durbhan be recognised by Govt and their proceedings No 123-4 dt 7-8-'03 amended E.7.12-'01 accordingly.

The Shop in the Inam village of Dunka has

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 112 of 1903-04

Serial No.	
4	To the Excise Comr No 642 dt the 30th March 1904. Forwards the petition (Enclosure) & requests the submission of a report as to whether a toddy shop really exists in the village in question (Dinka) & if so how long has it been in existence
5	From the Excise Comr No 4441 dt 7/11/08 Forwards copy of the Mysore Government Order no 312 dt 3/11/08 & the record received there with & states that there is a toddy shop in the village & stated for along time need that the first report that there was no shop in the village is a mistake.

It is an established fact that a shop exists in Dinka village from 1892-93. When the need for one or three shops that existed before that &c.

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.

6 the Reference unless it can be proved that the Shop is a good one

Ruled for orders to see

21/11/08

The statement that there is no shop in the Village made in the Investigating Officers report is stated to be wrong and proof has now been adduced to show that there has been a shop in the Village all along. The Case has therefore to be brought under ~~the~~ Rules 9, ~~10 & 11~~ + 17 as originally decided, under rule 13. There does not seem to be any information in the file to decide under which of the three rules the Case ~~falls & the~~

Please put up a draft reversing the original order

6.1.9

There is a Case under which I have asked for some information from the Investigating Officer & I hope to dispose of it completely

6.1.9

GPB—50,000—13-5-08.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.R File No. 112 of 1907

Serial No.

Submitted with a draft
K.S.
20.1.09.
20/1/09
20/1

Issue
Sd

Please submit this to 1st Councillor before issuing
22.1.09. Sd

9534
23.1.1909

Please show me the Taluk map & the Village Dunka on it. Also the Notn (or Notifications) in which Dunka shop is named. Sd 27/1/09

Put up the above

From No 170
6.2.09

To Ver or Genl Records 3.2.09 Sd
for kind compliance

Sd
5/2/09

To. No 161

ಕೈಕಂಡಿಲಾಲ್‌ಬಾಬ್ಜಿ

ಕಲೆಕ್ಟರರಾಂಟ್ಸು ಸಾಬ್ಜೆಕ್ಟಸಾಬ್ಜ ಲಾಲ್ ಬಿಹ್ಮಿಕಲ್ಲೆಕ್ಟರ್ಸಾಬ

ಬು ಬಿಕ್ಟೆಸ್ಟರಸ್ಟಾಂಟರಸಾಬ್ಜ- ಚಿಲಕಲೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಬಿಲ್ಲೆಸಾಬ್ಜಾಲು

ಸಾಬ್ಜಿ.

Sd
8/2/09

To. General Records

Sd/ Ruled — the name of the village is underlined in red ink in the Taluk map.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/L File No. 112 of 1903

Serial No.

The name of the village is evidenced both under name "Dudjs" and "Dumgtoes" in the Sale notification dt 19/12/92 No 8372 - R.A. 210 92 indicating that "Dudjs as well as Dumgtoes exist in the village (Dinka) Tree. The draft in the file may be seen

Approved

Submitted to Hon'ble Commissioner

22. 2. 09. S/L

9912
22.2.1909

(1) In the Notification dt 19 Dec 1892 why is the Dinka Shop entered separately from Dinka proper? As Dinka is a large village one would think that shop & proper should go together.

(2) Was a license actually issued (signed by the Dy Cr or Non competent authority) for the Dinka Shop for 1892-93? Is Donchalla within or outside Dinka limits.

Sd/- 26 Feby

S/L
26.2.09

Ordered The Dudjs & Dumgtoes seem to have been separately stamped tho one & the same village Contained both a Dudjs & a Dumgtoes It is also not clear whether the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 112 of 1903.

15575

Serial No.	
	the shop & the grove of the village in question were sold together or separately. Information on this point also may be useful. Draft ruled
	Issue 10.3.09.
6	To the Excise Com[r] H. 4107 of 13.3.09 Calls for further information as above
7	From the Excise Com[r] no 1580 of 13.8.09 Submits copy of Nilgiris Deputy Com[r]'s docket no 90 of 2.8.09 furnishing the information sought with reference to the Council's (Mr. Devanesan) queries dated 26/29.9.08 in para 7 of these notes. 1) In the schedule all grant shops are grouped together & groves also are similarly grouped. In 1892 the shop & the grove of this village

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

Serial No.

have been separately sold.
This has been done during the
whole of the Vend Farm period
except in 1896-97 when none
of the Arrack Stores in the
Kandukkaipatti Taluk was sold
separately.

2) No information is available
as to whether a licence
was actually issued in respect
of this Shop in 1892-93. However
this Shop is entered in the
Schedule attached to the Sale
Notice in the Settlement
register and in the list of Shops
& Stores prepared in the Taluk
Office on 2/1/1893.

3) Done Kalla is the place where
the Shop is located during
the hot weather & is within
the limits of the village.
The Cattle Stand in
the village Cank is said to be
known by this name

Submitted to Secretary
with a draft. The records

7/12/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,0.0.—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.R File No. 112 of 1903

Serial No.

Submitted bear out the Rev. Commrs contention that (1) there has always been a Shop in the Dinka village (2) that the place where the Shop is usually located is within the limits of the village and (3) that the Sale of the Shop and of the varam is shown separately in regard the (printed) Sale notification in regard to all Inam villages. Please Compare also Varanathakallahalli-alias-Krishnarajpete Taluq.

dug Dinkala

O.T.S.Q

S.

20/12/09 SA

27/9
14.12.09

Registered

Bill (Enem

28

7/4

Taluq (after Scrutiny afair - a very old file)

SA

7/11/12

Verified. The existence of the Shop has been borne out by the records. May I draft issue?

M.S.B. Rau
29/11/12

yes
SA
26/4/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—550 81—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

(13

S.R File No. 112 of 1903

Serial No.	
8	To the Excise Com " Comptroller no. 7E 3185-6 dy 29.11.12 directs that the case be brought under rules 2, 3, 5-6 seq of the Rules of 2.8.09

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GEB—55,000—27-4-09

Reference



Transferred to the
Excise Branch
14/2/04

23-2-04

Petitioner's Agent Venkatappa has deposed on oath on 10.1.02 before the Assistant Commr Mr. P. Srinivasa Rao that there is no dukan in the village but that there is only Vanam.

Inform Petitioner accordingly.

26/2/04

Dft submd
on 26/2/04

2344 of 25/2/04
804/2 1903-04 SL
1904

No. 1859

Name of Petitioner: Mr. A. Narasimma for Inamdar of Duka and others

Village: Munsiff-Chikkballapur

Taluk:

District: Kolar

Date of Arzi: 12/2/04

Date of Receipt: 15/2/04

Date of Disposal:

No. of Enclosure: Nil

ABSTRACT

States that in the para 1 of Govt Proceedings No 123-4 S.F. dt 7/8/1903, it is stated that Duka Inam village in Sheshnarayapete Taluk has a toddy shop while the existence of the same is clearly established by the Govt records and prays that the 1st para of the Proceedings be amended and that the petr right to both the toddy shop and toddy shop hereafter

Vel
9/2/04

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

S. R. 1/2 - 1903. 274 & (2)

No. 588 / 04

Mysore Govt., Gen. the Secretariat.
~~MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE~~,
~~PETITION~~ Excise DEPARTMENT,
The 27th February 1904.

ENDORSEMENT GRANTED TO Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar Sheristadar of Dinka village and now munsiff at Chikballapur.

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1859/344 dated 12/25-12-03 ~~and letter~~ ~~received~~ stating that there is a toddy shop in his village and that his right to keep the toddy shop as above ~~in the above village~~ may be recognised.

Petitioner is informed that his agent, Venkatappa deposed on oath, on the 10th Jan'y 04 before the Assistant Commr. ~~Collector~~ Mr. P. Sreenivasa Rao, that there was no dukan in the village but that there was only a Vanam. Govt. see no reason to interfere with the order already passed in the matter.

By Order,

27/2/04

for Secretary.

Reference

ಎಸ್
10-3-

1859 of 1903 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ
24-2-04 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಆದೇಶವಾಗಿದೆ.
ಕೆ.ಜಿ.ಎಫ್
12/3

Transferred to the Excise Branch in continuation = Time -

ಎಸ್
15-3-04

Read
3.30 P.M.
19.3.04
M.

ಕೆ 373/04

ಕೆ 369 dt 19/3/04 (3)
ಕೆ.ಜಿ.ಎಫ್ 1859 of 1903 dt 25/2/04
1904

No. 2015

Name of Petitioner: Mrs A. Narasimmaiah

Village: Munisiff of Dunkarapur

Taluk: Chikballapur

District: Kolar

Date of Arzi: 1/3/04

Date of Receipt: 3/3/04

Date of Disposal:

No. of Enclosure: Nil

ABSTRACT

Adverting to this Office endt no 1859 of 1903 dt 12/2/04 (343/25) States that if his agent has made a statement to the effect that there was no dukkan in the village Dunka, it is a mistake of the fact that the existence of the dukkan is proved by Govt records.

Pursuant to Govt Proceedings no 123-4 dt 7/8/03 he was granted 2-0-0 [illegible]

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

amen de d by reeoqmsing
the Geebeuee of the todday
dutkh ain -

Sd
10/3/06

Sec 18

Sht 1/12-4-03-2-05 Sht EE (4)

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Mysore Government,
Secretariat, General & Revenue
Excise Branch.

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft _____
Date of despatch 30/3/04

Regd. No. 64-2
File No. & date (if any) E 712-01

No. of Encls. 2

Sir, I have the honour to forward herewith ~~a copy each of~~ Petitions Nos 1859 & 15-2-04 and No 2015 & 3.3.04, received from Sri A. Narasimhiyar, Inamdar of Sirika village in the Krishnarajpett Taluk and to request that a report may be submitted to this office at an early date as to whether the ~~Petitioner~~ application for a toddy-shop in the said village ~~should be~~ is

actually exists

required at the Census
Settlements or is otherwise
established by records.
and if so how long it has been in existence

C.O.

Yours Obt. Servt. 30/3/04

8-1591

Office of the Excise Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore dated 7th November 1908.

From

H.H. Sparkes Esquire,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K.S. Chendrasekhara Aiyer Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No.642/ Ex.F.No.12-01, dated 30th March 1904 calling for a report as to whether a toddy shop actually exists in the Inam village of Dinka in the Krashnarajpet Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of a docket No.312, dated 3rd instant received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, from which it will be seen that there is a toddy shop in the village of Dinka from a very long time. The report to Government that there was no toddy shop in the village is evidently a mistake.

2. The three files of records received from the Deputy Commissioner are also submitted for reference and kind return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

H. Sparkes
for Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Enclosure to SLS 88 112-03

8

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

To

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

Dated 3rd November 1908.

No.312,

His docket No.2955, dated the 16th February 1907 and subsequent remiders calling for a report in respect of the existence of a toddy shop in the Dinka village of the Krishnaraj-pet Taluk.

--- ------@@@@@@---------

In reply to the above has the honor to forward herewith the entire records connected with the claim of the Inamdar to the toddy Excise revenue of the village, and to state as follows:

In Government Proceedings No.123/4/Ex.F.12-01, dated the 7th August 1903, it is decided that the Inamdar of Dinka is entitled to the Excise revenue from toddy. But the proper source from which this revenue could be derived, viz-a toddy shop, is recorded in the said order to be non-existent in the village. The preliminary enquiry into the claim held in the year 1902, on which the said order was evidently based admitted, at first the information that there existed no toddy shop in the village. A perusal of the records connected with the enquiry (Ferist Nos.4 & 5-I-file of the accompanying records) shows that the investigating officer records the circumstance only in doubtful terms mainly relying on an unverified statement of one Venkatappa, Agent of the Inamdar who probably spoke with no actual personal knowledge of the real state of things. But, on the other hand, there is ample evidence which effectually falsifies this statement and tends to prove that a toddy shop has been in existence in the village ever since the latter's alienation in 1821. The evidence afforded

afforded by the several documents produced by the Inamdar in proof of his title to, and enjoyment of, the toddy excise, is seriously in opposition to the statement. The inclusion of such a considerably large sum as 57 pagodas. On account of "sendi paru" in the beriz patty for the year Chithrabhanu 1822-23 (ferist No.3-II-file) is in favor of the inference that a source which could supplement the comparatively small yield of rent on date trees, must have existed in the village, at the time of the grant. The concession of the right to toddy by the Inam settlement also argues existence of a toddy shop in the year 1880. Again, the Mutchalikas of the late Abkari Contractors (Ferist Nos. 4,5 and 6-II-file) proving the enjoyment of the excise revenue from toddy by the Inamdar between the years 1880 and 1892 bear witness to the fact that a toddy shop was working in the village during the period. As regard its existence from 1892 up to date, the sale lists furnish positive proofs in that they contain entries of sums for which the Dinka shop has been sold year by year.

Besides the above, more or less inferential evidence, there is also the testimony of the Patel and the Shanbogue of the village who, in their statements (ferist Nos.7,8 and 9-III-file) given before the Amildar of Krishnarajapet Taluk, aver that a toddy shop has been in existence in the village from past times, being located usually in the "Dinkadammana Topu" lying within the village limits and occasionally in the "Donehalla" a spot half a mile distant from Dinka. They, are however, unable to point to any village record which would support their statement. None the less their evidence cannot be easily refuted and should be admitted as an aid in ascertaining the point at issue.

Under these circumstances the undersigned finds that the village of Dinka contains also a toddy shop and entitles the Inamdar to the Excise revenue under rule 9 also of the Government order No.2074-83/R.F.166-92 of the 2nd August 1901,

Sd/ H. Seetharamiah
for Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy"

for Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

W 112

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 33

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to 1591

No. H 4107

dy 13 March 09

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SH)

, *the* 190 .

To The Deputy Commr in Mysore

Date of issue 13-3-09

Signed by [illegible]

for reference & necessary

Sir,

In reference to correspondence ending with the endorsement of your letter No 4414 dy 7-11-08 regarding the claim to the Todar Cess of the Inam village of Dinka Nanjaiah Patel directed to request that you will be good as to furnish further information on the following points (which the said

over.

SUBJECT.

the grant of the village
were sold separately
for the year 1892-93 as
entered in the schedule
attached to the Sale notice
of that year and wherein
a licence was granted
issued for the shop for
that year.

2) Whether done while
referred to by the Inspector
by Conv in his letter
No 3128 3/11/93 addressed
to you is within or
outside the limits of
the brick village.

I have &c

(Revised)

for Sec

The
2/12/93
2/12/93

List of enclosures.

Copied by Qu
Examined by 12/3

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

S/4 42-0386-7 29 5/15

No 1580
E:12 file

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 13th August *1909.*

867.

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. Fl.4107/S.R.112-08-6, dated the 13th March 1909, requesting further information regarding the Inam toddy shop in the Dinka village of the Krishnarajpet Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of a docket No.90, dated the 2nd August 1909 together with the file of vernacular records received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to - - state that the shop and the grove of the village would appear to have been sold separately for the year 1892-93. A license also should have been issued for the shop for that year.

2. Donehalli referred to by the Mysore Deputy Commissioner in his docket No.312, dated the 3rd November 1908 is within the limits of the Dinka village.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Excise Commissioner.

19 .

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K.S.Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq.,
B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 13th August 1909.

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No

SUBJECT.

submitting further information regarding the inam tenure in the Dinka village of the Krishnarajpet Taluk, Mysore District.

GPB--2,000--31-7-09

Enclosure to SR 7 S.R 112-03

2

190

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 29th July

Despatched 2/8/09

Received

General No. 90

No.

Enclosure No. 4 files

His docket No. 8520 dated the 18th March 1909 forwarding copy of letter No. Fl 4107/S.R. 112-08-6 of the 13th idem from the Secretary to Government, anent the existence of a toddy shop in the Inam Dinka village of the Krishnarajpet Taluk.

In resubmitting the records received with the above, together with a file of correspondence ending with the Seringapatam Excise Range Inspector's Report No. 68-130 dated the 5th instant bearing upon the issues raised in the letter cited above, the undersigned, has the honor to state that, as reported by the Amildar vide his Ittila No. 442-4959 dated 16th April 1907. (Ferist No. 7 IV File of the accompanying records), the shop and the grove of the Dinka village were sold separately in the year 1892-93.

This fact is also corroborated by the entry in the statement of [illegible] moved sold in the Wholesale Arrack Shop for the year 1[illegible], received in the Taluk on the 2nd January 1[illegible]. (Serial No.[illegible] IV [illegible]) although it has not been possible to point to any record in the Taluk and Range Offices connected with respect to the point in issue, that is the first issue of the letter cited above, viz. whether a license was actually issued for the shop for that year; reference to the old registers, as already observed in this office letter dated 3rd November 1908, would afford conclusive proof of the fact that the shop suffered no closure in any of the successive years. Hence there cannot be a doubt about the issue of a license for the year 1[illegible].

As regards the next question at issue concerning the identity of "Bonkelli" it is to be observed by the accompanying report (Serial No. 11 to 11. IV file) that the "Bonkelle" is the name given to the [illegible] stream issuing from the waste weir of the tank of the Bichchalli village (Serial No.6). The Amildar after a personal investigation, accordingly, reports that the "Halla" over which the toddy shop is located for some of the during the last season and also the most probable close to the temple of Bhadrakali on which the old shop exists for the most of the year, are at a considerable distance from and within the village limits.

(Sd) H.Seetharamaiah,

for Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

"Copy"

[illegible]

for Excise Commissioner.

Proceedings of th Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Government Order No. 123-4/Ex.F.12-01 dated the 7th August 1903, allowing the Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of the Sarvamanya Dinka village in the Krishnarajpet Taluk, subject to rules 2,5 and 13 of the rules contained in Government Order No. 2074-83/R.F.166-92 dated the 2nd August 1901.

2. Petitions dated the 15th February 1904 and 3rd March 1904, from Mr. A.Narasimha Iyengar, Inamdar of Dinka village, stating that, as a toddy shop actually exists in the village the orders read above may be reconsidered.

(3) Correspondence ending with the Excise Commissioner's letter No. 4441 dated the 7th November 1908, reporting that there is a toddy shop in the villages from a very long time.

Order thereon No.

Dated Bangalore January 1909.

The statement that there is no shop in the village made in the Investigating Officer's report submitted to Government with the Excise Commissioner's letter No. 4593-261 dated the 7/11th February 1903 is now stated to be wrong and proof has been adduced to show that there has been a shop in the village all along. The case will therefore be brought under rule 9 and not under rule 13 of the Government order dated the 2nd August 1901.

For Secretary to Government.

To

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

" Comptroller, Mysore Government.

M.N.-2.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial (Separate Revenue), dated 29th November 1912.

READ—

Government Order No. 123-4—Ex. F. 12-01, dated the 7th August 1903, allowing the inamdar's claim to the Excise revenue from toddy of the Sarvamanya Dinka village in the Krishnarajpete Taluk, subject to Rules 2, 5 and 13 of the Rules contained in Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated the 2nd August 1901.

(2) Petitions dated the 15th February 1904, and 3rd March 1904, from Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar, inamdar of Dinka village, stating that, as a toddy shop actually exists in the village, the orders read above may be reconsidered.

(3) Correspondence ending with the Excise Commissioner's letter No. 1580, dated the 13th August 1909, submitting a report in the matter.

No. FL. 3185-6—S. R. 112-03-8, DATED BANGALORE, 29TH NOVEMBER 1912.

ORDER THEREON.—The statement that there is no shop in the village, made in the Investigating Officer's report submitted to Government, with the Excise Commissioner's letter No. 4593-261, dated the 7-11th February 1903, is now stated to be wrong and proof has been adduced to show that there has always been a shop in the village. Government are, therefore, pleased to direct that, in modification of para 1 of Government Order No. 123-4—Ex. F. 12-01, dated the 7th August 1903, the case be brought under Rules 2, 3, 5, 6 and 9 of the Government Order dated the 2nd August 1901.

K. R. SRINIVASIENGAR,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, with enclosures.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—C. R.

1912-13

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(SEPARATE REVENUE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 29th November 1912

No. Fl. 3185-6 / S. R. 112-03-8

Directs that, in modification of para I of Government Order No. 123-4—Ex. F. 12-01, dated the 7th August 1903, the case of the inamdar's claim to the Excise revenue from toddy of the Sarvamanya Dinka village in the Krishnarajpete Taluk, be brought under Rules 2, 3, 5, 6 and 9 of Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

GPB—7-12-12—200